Pupil premium strategy statement - Updated November 2023

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

Detail	Data
School name	Oakgrove School
Number of pupils in school	2492
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	14.37%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3-year plans are recommended)	2021/2022 to 2024/2025
Date this statement was first published	December 2021
Date on which it will be next reviewed	October 2024
Statement authorised by	Ian Tett, Headteacher
Pupil premium lead	Nicola Irwin-Morris, Senior Deputy Headteacher
Governor / Trustee lead	Karen Carabine

Funding overview

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£300,000 (tbc)
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£0
Total budget for this academic year	£300,000

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Our intention is that all pupils, irrespective of their background or the challenges they face, make good progress and achieve high attainment across the curriculum, particularly in core subjects (English, Maths, Science).

The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to achieve that goal, including progress for those who are already high attainers. We will consider the challenges faced by vulnerable pupils, such as those who have a social worker and young carers. The activity we have outlined in this statement is also intended to support their needs, regardless of whether they are disadvantaged or not.

High-quality teaching is at the heart of our approach, with a focus on areas in which disadvantaged pupils require the most support. Quality First Teaching is proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap and at the same time will benefit the non-disadvantaged pupils in our school. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that non-disadvantaged pupils' attainment will be sustained and improved alongside progress for their disadvantaged peers.

Our strategy is also integral to wider school plans for education recovery, notably in its targeted support through the School Led Tutoring Programme for pupils whose education has been worst affected, including non-disadvantaged pupils.

Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs, rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, not assumptions about the impact of disadvantage. The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils excel. To ensure they are effective we will:

- ensure disadvantaged pupils are challenged in the work that they're set
- act early to intervene at the point need is identified
- adopt a whole school approach in which all staff take responsibility for disadvantaged pupils' outcomes and raise expectations of what they can achieve

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge	
1	The attainment of disadvantaged pupils is generally lower than that of their peers	
	On entry to year 7 over the last 5 years, between 25 - 35% of our disadvantaged pupils arrive below age-related expectations compared to 5 - 15% of their peers. Subsequent internal and external (where available) assessments show that this gap remains steady during KS3, but widens by around 5% during pupils' time in KS4.	
	The biggest difference is in Maths and English at grade 5 and above (not so much grade 4 and above) – interestingly at grade 5 and above Maths and English are similar in terms of the difference between disadvantaged and their peers, but at grade 4 and above the gap is wider in Maths.	
2	Assessments, observations and discussion with KS 2&3 pupils indicate that disadvantaged pupils generally have lower levels of reading comprehension than peers. This impacts their progress in all subjects.	
3	Our assessments, observations and discussions with pupils and families suggest that the education and wellbeing of many of our disadvantaged pupils have been impacted by partial school closures to a greater extent than for other pupils. These findings are backed up by several national studies.	
	This has resulted in significant knowledge gaps resulting in pupils falling further behind age-related expectations.	
4	Our observations suggest many lower attaining disadvantaged pupils lack metacognitive / self-regulation strategies when faced with challenging tasks, notably in their monitoring and evaluation of their answers. This is indicated across the curriculum, and shown by the amount of referrals to Behaviour Guidance Room (BGR) and reports for disadvantaged students.	
	Homework Completion: In 20-21, 28% of H1s were given to disadvantaged students which exceeds the proportion we would expect. In 20-21 this has fallen to 23%, we are hoping for it to fall further.	
	Report Data: In 2020-2021, 29% of reports where for disadvantaged students, In 2019 - 2020 this figure was 34% (reduction of 5%)	
	BGR Data In 2020-2021 29% of referrals to the BGR where for disadvantaged students, a fall of 4% from the previous year	
5	Our assessments (including wellbeing survey), observations and discussions with pupils and families have identified social and emotional issues for many pupils, such as anxiety, depression (diagnosed by medical professionals) and low self-esteem. This is partly driven by concern about catching up lost learning and exams/future prospects, and the lack of enrichment opportunities	

	due to the pandemic. These challenges particularly affect disadvantaged pupils, including their attainment and life chances.
6	Our attendance data over the last 3 years indicates that attendance among disadvantaged pupils has been between 4 - 6% lower than for non-disadvantaged pupils.
	40 - 42 % of disadvantaged pupils have been 'persistently absent' compared to 28 - 30% of their peers during that period. (overall PA is 30.6% in 20-21). Our assessments and observations indicate that absenteeism is negatively impacting disadvantaged pupils' progress.
7	In the primary, a disproportionate number of disadvantaged pupils do not have support at home to access home learning tasks/homework, including regularly reading with a literate adult.

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria	
Improved attainment among disadvantaged pupils across the curriculum at the end of KS4, with a focus on EBacc subjects.	 2024/25 KS4 outcomes demonstrate that disadvantaged pupils achieve: an average Attainment 8 score which is above national average a Progress 8 score which is above national average an EBacc average point score in line with non disadvantaged students an Ebacc entry percentage in line with non disadvantaged students 	
Improved attainment among disadvantaged pupils across the curriculum at the end of KS2	2024/25 KS2 outcomes demonstrate that disadvantaged pupils achieve: • reading, writing and maths attainment above national average	
Improved reading comprehension among disadvantaged pupils across KS3 and KS2.	2024/2025 Reading comprehension tests demonstrate improved comprehension skills among disadvantaged pupils and a smaller disparity between the scores of disadvantaged pupils and their non-disadvantaged peers. Teachers should also have recognised this improvement through engagement in lessons and book scrutiny.	
Improved metacognitive and self-regulatory skills among	2024-2025 Teacher reports and class observations suggest disadvantaged pupils are more able to monitor and regulate their own learning.	
disadvantaged pupils across all subjects.	This finding is supported by homework completion rates across all classes and subjects.	
	BGR and Report Data indicate these are in line within the proportion of disadvantaged students in school	

To achieve and sustain improved wellbeing for all pupils, including those who are disadvantaged.	 Sustained high levels of wellbeing from 2024/25 demonstrated by: qualitative data from student voice, student and parent surveys and teacher observations. participation in enrichment activities, particularly among disadvantaged pupils.
To achieve and sustain improved attendance for all pupils, particularly our disadvantaged pupils.	 Sustained high attendance from 2024/25 demonstrated by: the overall absence rate for all pupils being no more than 4%, and the attendance gap between disadvantaged pupils and their non-disadvantaged peers being reduced to 2-3%. the percentage of all pupils who are persistently absent being below 20% and the figure among disadvantaged pupils being no more than 7% lower than their peers.

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £130,000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Class Sizes - Contribution towards the funding of extra staffing in Y7 to provide intensive small group support for those who did not reach expected standards at the end of Key Stage Two plus LSA support in these classes Reduction in class sizes to an average of 25 in KS3 with the new 300 intakes, allowing very small KS3 lower sets Additional staffing provided in English and Maths in Key Stage Four to reduce class sizes and create intensive intervention groups	Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one: One to one tuition EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) And in small groups: Small group tuition Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF Reducing Class Sizes - EEF Teaching Assistant Interventions, Toolkit strand, EEF	1,2,3,4
Student Engagement - Contribution towards targeted group teaching in KS2 One to one tuition for CLA students using external agencies	Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one: One to one tuition EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) And in small groups: Small group tuition Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF	1,2,3,7
Staff CPD - Staffing costs (EJP, JLW, RJC)		5, 7

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £90,000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addresse d
Reading interventions for disadvantaged pupils who need additional help to comprehend texts and address vocabulary gaps.	Reading comprehension strategies can have a positive impact on pupils' ability to understand a text, and this is particularly the case when interventions are delivered over a shorter timespan: Reading comprehension strategies Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF	2, 4
Engaging with the National Tutoring Programme to provide a blend of tuition, mentoring and school-led tutoring for pupils whose education has been most impacted by the pandemic. A significant proportion of the pupils who receive tutoring will be disadvantaged, including those who are high attainers.	Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one: One to one tuition EEF (educationendowmentfoundation. org.uk) And in small groups: Small group tuition Toolkit Strand Education Endowment EEF	1, 2, 3
Interventions -Contribution towards the cost of KS3 English, Maths and Science coordinators to lead lunchtime and after school intervention sessions One-to-one mentoring for individual needs Additional Easter and Whitsun holiday intensive exam revision classes Eng/Mat - intensive booster for CLA/Disadv in literacy & numeracy	Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one: One to one tuition EEF (educationendowmentfoundation. org.uk) And in small groups: Small group tuition Toolkit Strand Education Endowment EEF	1,2,3

Resources - Contribution towards the costs of arranging/running	Behaviour interventions EEF	4	
programmes with outside agencies e.g. Brook, Compass, CAMHS, Hearing, Speech & language, vision,			
medical			

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £140,000

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Mental Health Strategy to focus on ensuring all students feel supported at school This includes training for school staff, collaboration with our local behaviour hub and teacher release time. INSET for pastoral team and for LSA/SEN team and for NQTs on CLA	EIF's report on adolescent mental health found good evidence that CBT interventions support young people's social and emotional skills and can reduce symptoms of anxiety and depression: Adolescent mental health: A systematic review on the effectiveness of school-based interventions Early Intervention Foundation (eif.org.uk)	5
Embedding principles of good practice set out in DfE's Improving School Attendance advice. Staff will get training to develop and implement new procedures. A Sustained Absence Link will be appointed to improve attendance.	The DfE guidance has been informed by engagement with schools that have significantly reduced persistent absence levels.	6

Increased staffing to monitor and support the attendance, behaviour and emotional wellbeing of PP students according to their needs Additional staff to provide homework clubs in the Library and also in SEN Dept every evening LSA lunchtime cover for social skills LSA to provide additional before and after school activities inc. booster class and 'meet and greet' and peer reading One to one progression interviews for all year 11 PP students Work Experience extra support for selected year 10 PP students University trips raising expectations for disadvantaged students Cost of wrap around care at primary, including additional literacy support	Behaviour Interventions - EEF Teaching Assistant Interventions - EEF	5, 6, 7
Contingency fund for acute issues.	Based on our experiences and those of similar schools to ours, we have identified a need to set a small amount of funding aside to respond quickly to needs that have not yet been identified.	All

Total budgeted cost: £360,000

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2022 to 2023 academic year.

At KS4 The A8 score for disadvantaged students was 46.63 (2022 52.60) compared to 57.39 (2021 60.38) for non-disadvantaged students. As with National Figures this gap has widened. The A8 score is less than 0.5 (half a grade) below national non-disadvantaged students (50.2) and well ahead of disadvantaged nationally with an average score of 34.9.

EBacc entry for disadvantaged students was 2.6%, which was lower than non-disadvantaged students at 12.8%. This will increase as the schools strategy for increasing the uptake of the EBacc is implemented over the next two years.

Progress scores for disadvantaged students in 2023 were above national average at 0.08

At the end of KS2, 56% (2022 67%) of disadvantaged pupils achieved age-related expectations in all areas compared to 73% (2022 67%) for non-disadvantaged pupils. In reading, 67% of disadvantaged pupils achieved age related expectations compared to 88% non-disadvantaged. In maths, 72% (2022 75%) achieved ARE compared to 81% (2022 75%) for non-disadvantaged pupils.

The School's Reading Strategy enables identification of older early readers and appropriate support is put in place. Of the current students who are receiving automaticity focused support, through the Lexia programme, 7 of the Year 7 students are disadvantaged students (out of a total of 19) and 3 of the Year 8 students are disadvantaged students (out of a total of 10). Screening is underway for students who need support with decoding; once identified, these students will receive personalised support through the ReadWrite Inc Fresh Start programme. In addition to this, all students are supported in the development of their reading through whole school strategies and Quality First Teaching, such as teacher-led reading in lessons. Reading for pleasure is also encouraged through support groups and competitions. A Year 7 and 8 Reading Club (for SEN students), an after-school intervention, and a Year 7 and 8 Books and Biscuits Club (aimed at students not currently on the SEN list), a before-school intervention, provide an opportunity to explore reading-age appropriate books; students are both read to and participate in reading aloud. These clubs provide the students with an opportunity to access the school's 'Reading Challenge' competition. At present 2 of the Year 7 and 8 Reading Club students are disadvantaged students, there are 5 students in the club in total.

Homework Completion: In 21-22, 27% of H codes were issued to disadvantaged students which exceeded the proportion we would expect. Unfortunately, in 22-23 the number of H codes issued showed a small rise to 28% indicating there is still work to be done in this area and further opportunities need to be explored.

Report Data: In 2021 - 2022 35% of reports issued were for disadvantaged students In 2022-2023 this fell to 31% a reduction of 4%. We hope to see this trend continue in 23-24.

BGR Data In 2021-2022 37% of referrals to the BGR were for disadvantaged students, an increase of 8% from the previous year, in 22-23 this figure fell back to 29%. We continue to

monitor the disadvantage numbers and try to avoid removing them from lessons if we feel there is an alternative avenue.

Data in 22-23 shows that attendance for disadvantaged students was 2.1 % lower than non disadvantaged. Persistent absence rates are 41.5% for disadvantaged students compared to 32.5% for non disadvantaged students.

Externally provided programmes

Programme	Provider
National Tuition Programme	